## Implementation Year 3: Grade 2 new & preschool teachers<sup>1</sup>

## **Student Academic Progress**

Student Academic Progress Data	Category	Point Value	School/Classroom Level Data <sup>3</sup>	Point Value	Point Determination
44 Points (37% of total) <sup>2</sup>	Achievement	16	SLO Achievement Statement(s)	16	16 points: ≥ 90% of the students met the SLO 12 points: 80%-89% of the students met the SLO 8 points: 60%-79% of the students met the SLO 4 points: <60% of the students met the SLO
	Growth	24	SLO Growth Statement(s)	24	24 points: ≥ 90% of the students met the SLO 18 points: 80%-89% of the students met the SLO 12 points: 60%-79% of the students met the SLO 6 points: <60% of the students met the SLO
	College and Career Ready	4	Grade 3 Level Reduction in FFB Reading (School level data from prior two years)	2	2 points: Reduced Grade 3 Reading FFB by ≥ 2% 1 point: Reduced Grade 3 Reading FFB by ≥ 1% 0 points: Reduced Grade 3 Reading FFB by < 1%
			Attendance Rate (School Level)	2	2 points: Attendance rate ≥95% 1 point: Attendance rate between 75%-94% 0 points: Attendance rate <75%

Teaching Performance						
Teaching Performance	Domain	Point Value	Leadership Standards	Point Value	Point Determination	
	Planning and		1a. Demonstrating Knowledge of Content and Pedagogy	3		
			1b. Demonstrating Knowledge of Students	3		
		18	1c. Setting Instructional Outcomes	3		
	preparation	18	1d. Demonstrating Knowledge of Resources	3		
			1e. Designing Coherent Instruction	3		
			1f. Designing Student Assessments	3		
			2a. Creating an Environment of Respect and Rapport	3		
		15	2b. Establishing a Culture for Learning	3	3 points: Distinguished 2 points: Proficient 1 point: Basic 0 points: Unsatisfactory	
	The Classroom Environment		2c. Managing Classroom Procedures	3		
66 Points			2d. Managing Student Behavior	3		
			2e. Organizing Physical Space	3		
(55% of total)		15	3a. Communicating With Students	3		
	Instruction		3b. Using Questioning and Discussion Techniques	3		
			3c. Engaging Students in Learning	3		
			3d. Using Assessment in Instruction	3		
			3e. Demonstrating Flexibility and Responsiveness	3		
	Professional Responsibilities		4a. Reflecting on Teaching	3		
			4b. Maintaining Accurate Records	3		
		18	4c. Communicating With Families	3		
			4d. Participating in a Professional Community	3		
			4e. Growing and Developing Professionally	3		
			4f. Showing Professionalism	3		

Surveys					
Surveys	Category	Point Value	Survey Source	Point Value	Point Determination
10 Points (8% of total) Survey			Parent Survey (School level)	5	5 points: ≥78% of the parent survey mean scores were a 3 or above 3 points: 41%-77% of parent survey mean scores were a 3 or above 0 points: <41% of parent survey mean scores were a 3 or above
	10	Self-Review	1	1 point: Teacher completed self-review 0 points: Teacher did not complete self-review	
			Peer Review	4	4 points: the average of the peer review mean scores was a 3 or above 2 points: the average of the peer review mean scores was 2-2.99 0 points: the average of the peer review mean scores was < 2

Summative Score of the Three Components		
Point Value	Point Determination	
120	120-108 points: Highly Effective 107-85 points: Effective 84-60 points: Developing <60 points: Ineffective	
2	Bonus Points <sup>4</sup>	

Note: 1.The information being provided in the rating table is part of a teacher evaluation system and has not yet been validated. ADE recommends that LEAs do not wholly rely on the information provided in these tables when designating summative teacher classifications as part of the evaluation process, without piloting the rating system first.

<sup>2.</sup> The weighting on school/classroom-level data is greater for Grade 2 and preschool teachers because the student survey is not part of their evaluation.

<sup>3.</sup> Data are aggregated for each teacher. If a teacher has multiple classrooms or grades, data from those classrooms are combined for the aggregation.

<sup>4.</sup> In order to encourage more inclusive and collaborative practices within general education settings, special education and general education teachers who collaborate to close the achievement gap between the students with IEPs and general education students will receive 2 bonus points in the final calculation.